UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Lamin Sarjo Barrow,

Petitioner,

v. Case No. 1:16cv517

Immigration Custom & Enforcement, Judge Michael R. Barrett

Respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation filed by the Magistrate Judge on November 3, 2016 (Doc. 21).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). The Court notes, however, that though such notice was served upon Petitioner but it was returned to the Court due to Petitioner's failure to apprise the Court of his change of address (see Doc. 22). By failing to keep the Court apprised of his current address, Petitioner demonstrates a lack of prosecution of his action. *See*, e.g., *Theede v. United States Department of Labor*, 172 F.3d 1262, 1265 (10th Cir. 1999). Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation due to delay resulting from a party's failure to bring to the Court's attention a change in address constitutes failure to object in a timely manner. Because the Recommendation was mailed to the last known address, it was properly served, and party waived right to appellate review. *See* also *Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109 (6th Cir. 1991) (A *pro se*

Case: 1:16-cv-00517-MRB-KLL Doc #: 23 Filed: 11/29/16 Page: 2 of 2 PAGEID #: 81

litigant has an affirmative duty to diligently pursue the prosecution of his cause of action);

Barber v. Runyon, No. 93-6318, 1994 WL 163765, at *1 (6th Cir. May 2, 1994). A pro se

litigant has a duty to supply the court with notice of any and all changes in his address.

As such, no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 21)

have been filed.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 21) of

the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED.** Respondent's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 19)

is GRANTED; Petitioner's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Doc. 15) is DENIED; and

this matter is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Michael R. Barrett

Michael R. Barrett United States District Judge

2